

# Employment and Wage Responses to Trade Shocks: Evidence from Mexico during the 2008-09 U.S. Recession

D. Kaplan (IADB)

D. Lederman (IBRD)

R. Robertson (Macalester College)

June 2011

# Motivation

- How do labor markets adjust in the short run when hit by sudden trade shock?
  - Employment versus wages and vertical integration
  - Import competition versus imported inputs
  - Diffusion of shock through the labor market
  - Skill upgrading of employed workforce
- Questions important for literature and policy
  - Literatures make claims about each of the above
  - Policy: unemployment insurance versus income support in social protection programs

# A Natural Experiment

- “Great Trade Collapse” (Baldwin 2009), Mexico’s trade with the U.S. fell 43% (real)
  - Eaton et al. (2009): ~ 70% of global decline in global trade/GDP due to fall in demand for manufactured goods
  - Shock unlikely to be due to Mexican industry trends (...)
- Shock for Mexico: a “natural experiment” to assess the effect of trade on labor markets in a developing economy characterized by “vertical integration”
  - Formal employment in trade-intensive northern states fell more than 9% between September 2008 & March 2009
  - Change in the log real wage of “stayers” between quarters was 0.030 and 0.018 in Q1 & Q2 2008 and -0.001 and -0.012 in Q3 & Q4



# Outline

- Data
- Illustrative Figures
- Empirical models
  - Employment
  - Wages of stayers
  - Relative wages of stayers (composition of labor)
- Results
- Endogeneity of trade shock during crisis: treatment effects as deviations from industry trends
- Conclusions


# Data

- Mexican social security records
  - Follow formal workers across firms & industries
  - Information on wages and industries
- Trade with U.S.
  - Customs transactions from U.S. ITC
- Quarterly data
  - Employment & wages at end of period
  - U.S.-Mexico bilateral trade averages within quarters
- Matched data covering 3.6-4.2 million workers in border states
  - Employment & trade classifications resulting in 105 tradable industries and 1 non-tradable


# On the Diffusion of the Shock: Tighter Co-movement of Employment in NON-Tradables with Exports?



# But Magnitude Much Larger in Tradables




# Real Wage Changes for Stayers (controls for worker-firm effects)






# Change in Log Wages for Everyone (includes composition effects)



# A Picture on Compositional Changes: Relative Wages of “Stayers” v. All



# Within Industries Employment and Wages

- Approach: Exports and imports; time-to-build; related industries; crisis
- Time to build specification of employment model

$$\Delta E_{i,t} = \beta_m \cdot \Delta m_{i,t} + \beta_x \cdot \Delta x_{i,t} + \beta_{m2} \cdot \Delta m_{i,t+1} + \beta_{x2} \cdot \Delta x_{i,t+1} + \gamma_t + \Delta \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

- Average wage changes of stayers

$$\overline{\Delta W}_{i,t} = \beta_m \cdot \Delta m_{i,t} + \beta_x \cdot \Delta x_{i,t} + \beta_{m2} \cdot \Delta m_{i,t+1} + \beta_{x2} \cdot \Delta x_{i,t+1} + \gamma_t + \Delta \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

# Index of “Related” Industries Trade Shocks

$$I_{i,t}^m \equiv \frac{\sum l_{j \neq i} \cdot m_{j,t}}{L_i}$$

$I_{i,t}^m$ : number of workers (in all of Mexico) employed in industry  $i$  at time  $t$ , but that were also employed in any other industry  $j$  during 2008-09.

$L_i$ : total workers in industry  $i$

$m_{j,t}$ : log of imports in industry  $j$  in time  $t$  (of course we use the analogous concept for exports)

Intuitively, indices equal to the weighted average of “related” imports and exports in each time period

# Related Industries and Trade Shocks

$$\Delta E_{i,t} = \beta_m \cdot \Delta m_{i,t} + \beta_x \cdot \Delta x_{i,t} + \beta_{m2} \cdot \Delta m_{i,t+1} + \beta_{x2} \cdot \Delta x_{i,t+1} + \beta_{Im} \cdot \Delta I_{i,t}^m + \beta_{Ix} \cdot \Delta I_{i,t}^x + \beta_{Im2} \cdot \Delta I_{i,t+1}^m + \beta_{Ix2} \cdot \Delta I_{i,t+1}^x + \gamma_t + \Delta \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

$$\overline{\Delta W}_{i,t} = \beta_m \cdot \Delta m_{i,t} + \beta_x \cdot \Delta x_{i,t} + \beta_{m2} \cdot \Delta m_{i,t+1} + \beta_{x2} \cdot \Delta x_{i,t+1} + \beta_{Im} \cdot \Delta I_{i,t}^m + \beta_{Ix} \cdot \Delta I_{i,t}^x + \beta_{Im2} \cdot \Delta I_{i,t+1}^m + \beta_{Ix2} \cdot \Delta I_{i,t+1}^x + \gamma_t + \Delta \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

If workers move between horizontally-related industries, positive shocks to related industries associated with declines in employment and increases in wages in a given industry

If workers move between vertically-related industries (i.e., characterized by input-output relationships), expected coefficients in the employment equation could be opposite, i.e., positive shocks for the supply chain.

# Summary of “Within” Industry Effects

- Employment versus wages and vertical integration
  - Time-to-build specification
  - Employment elasticity w.r.t. to one-period ahead exports: 0.03
  - Stayers’ wage elasticity w.r.t. to exports: 0.01
- Import competition versus imported inputs
  - Employment elasticity w.r.t. to imports  $\sim 0.02$
  - Stayers’ wage elasticity w.r.t. to imports:  $\sim 0.006$  (n.s.)
- Diffusion of shock through the labor market
  - Empl significantly correlated with “related” imports and exports
  - Wages are not
- Skill upgrading: Relative wage of “stayers”
  - W.r.t. imports: 0.008; W.r.t. exports: 0.024
  - W.r.t. one-period ahead exports: 0.015
  - W.r.t. “related” imports: 0.065; W.r.t. “related” exports: 0.110

# Results: Within-industry Employment

---

Imports from the U.S.	0.020*	0.014	0.014	0.017*
	(0.011)	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.009)
Exports to the U.S.	0.021	0.034**	0.030*	
	(0.015)	(0.016)	(0.017)	
One-period ahead: Imports from the U.S.		-0.020*	-0.020*	
		(0.011)	(0.011)	
One-period ahead: Exports to the U.S.		0.039***	0.035**	0.032**
		(0.015)	(0.014)	(0.014)
Related imports from the U.S.			0.138**	0.126*
			(0.070)	(0.070)
Related exports to the U.S.			0.151	0.177*
			(0.119)	(0.103)
One-period ahead: Rel. imports			-0.144	
			(0.109)	
One-period ahead: Rel. exports			0.180	
			(0.153)	

---

# Wages of Stayers

---

Imports from the U.S.	0.008*	0.006	0.006
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)
Exports to the U.S.	0.010**	0.011***	0.007*
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)
One-period ahead: Imports		-0.002	-0.003
		(0.004)	(0.003)
One-period ahead: Exports		0.003	0.004
		(0.003)	(0.004)
Related imports			0.001
			(0.030)
Related exports			0.140
			(0.091)
One-period ahead: Rel. imports			0.049*
			(0.027)
One-period ahead: Rel. exports			-0.002
			(0.036)
Observations	1,133	1,028	1,025
R-squared	0.553	0.554	0.571

---



# Relative Wages of Stayers

---

Imports from the U.S.	0.008** (0.004)	0.006* (0.003)	0.006* (0.003)
Exports to the U.S.	0.021*** (0.007)	0.028*** (0.007)	0.024*** (0.007)
One-period ahead: Imports from the U.S.		0.000 (0.004)	0.000 (0.004)
One-period ahead: Exports to the U.S.		0.016*** (0.004)	0.015*** (0.004)
Related imports from the U.S.			0.065** (0.033)
Related exports to the U.S.			0.110*** (0.040)
One-period ahead: Related imports from the U.S.			-0.037 (0.041)
One-period ahead: Related exports to the U.S.			0.041 (0.059)

---

# Exogenous Trade Shocks?: “Continuous” Treatment Effects

$$\Delta E_{i,t}, \overline{\Delta W}_{i,t}, diff_{i,t} = \beta_{x,t} \cdot \gamma_t \cdot \Delta x_{i,t \in crisis} + \beta_{Ix,t} \cdot \gamma_t \cdot \Delta I_{i,t \in crisis}^x + \Delta \varepsilon_{i,t}$$


If the trade collapse induced inter-industry dispersion in employment and wages that deviated from common trends, then:

$$\beta_{x,t} > 0 \text{ or } \beta_{Ix,t} > 0 \text{ during } t \in crisis$$

$$\beta_{x,t} < 0 \text{ or } \beta_{Ix,t} < 0 \text{ during recovery}$$

# Continuous Treatment Results: Wages of Stayers


**Coefficients on Direct Exports and Related Exports from Event Study  
(Shock: October - November 208, Wage Regressions)**



# Conclusions

- Trade collapse was exogenous to trends in labor market trends in Northern Mexico
- Adjustment mostly through quantities
  - Trade variation in quantities  $>$  unit values (prices)
  - Magnitude of effects larger on employment than wages of stayers
  - Compositional effects reflected in relative wages of stayers
- Vertical trade
  - Positive partial correlation of imports with employment
- Time-to-build specification does well
  - Leads of exports and lags of imports affect wages and employment
- Related industries: through input-output relationships
  - Increases in exports and inputs in “related” industries positively affect wages and employment

# Total Mexican Exports and Imports Billions 2009 U.S. Dollars



# Compositional Accounting Algebra

The differential wage change variable:

$$diff_{i,t} = \frac{\sum_{w \in stayers}^{N_{stayers}} \ln(w_{w,i,t}) - \ln(w_{w,i,t-1})}{N_{i,stayers}} - \left( \frac{\sum_w^{N_t} \ln(w_{w,i,t})}{N_{i,t}} - \frac{\sum_w^{N_{t-1}} \ln(w_{w,i,t})}{N_{i,t-1}} \right)$$

Wage setting process: Wages = f(worker fixed effects + time-varying industry effects):

$$\ln(w_{w,s,t}) = \alpha_w + \gamma_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{w,f,t}$$

$$(\gamma_{i,t} - \gamma_{i,t-1}) \quad \text{minus} \quad (\gamma_{i,t} - \gamma_{i,t-1}) + (\bar{\alpha}_{i,t} - \bar{\alpha}_{i,t-1})$$

Reduces to changes in average worker FE:

$$diff_{i,t} = (\bar{\alpha}_{i,t-1} - \bar{\alpha}_{i,t})$$