

IMHE/OECD General Conference

Values and Ethics in Higher Education Paris, September 11-13, 2006

Educational and socioeconomic background of undergraduates and academic performance: consequences for affirmative action programs at a Brazilian research university

Renato H. L. Pedrosa

State University of Campinas – Unicamp Brazil





Study Proposal

To determine associations between undergraduate students' characteristics, including:

- Family's socioeconomic/job situation
- Family's educational background
- Student's gender and age

and

 Student's undergraduate academic performance compared to his/her performance at admission - relative performance





State University of Campinas – Unicamp State of São Paulo - Brazil

- One of only four Brazilian HEIs included in the the world top 500 (Chinese study)
- About 15,000 undergraduate and 10,000 graduate students enrolled
- Confers about 700 PhDs every year
- Responsible for 15% of all indexed research produced in Brazil, the source of 2% of all indexed research worldwide
- Strong technological and scientific profile
- Highly selective: average of 16 candidates per undergraduate position
- Selection based exclusively on an admission exam





Background data (2003/4)

	Brazil	São Paulo
Population	185 million	40 million
Per capita GDP (PPP)	U\$ 7,460	U\$ 11,190
Tertiary enrolment	4,35 million	1,10 million
Net tertiary enrolment (age group: 18-24)	11%	13%
Public sector participation	28%	15%
Black / "Pardo" population	47%	28%





Student population in the study

- 6,701 undergraduate students (all students enrolled in 4+ year courses)
- Admitted in the years 1994-1997
- Students' status in January/2005:

Graduated	Dropped-out or expelled	Active	Total
4,837	1,713	151	6,701
72%	26%	2%	100%

Educational and socio-economic information

	Public secondary schooling	Low-income	Father with tertiary degree	Mother with tertiary degree
Unicamp#	27%	10%	53%	41%
São Paulo	84%*	57%*	11%**	12%***
Brazil	83%*	69%*	8%**	9%***
[#] 1994-1997	* Secondary graduates, 2004		**Adult male pop., 2004	*** Adult female pop., 2004

Source: Comvest and PNAD/IBGE

COMVEST Comissão Permanente para os Vestibulares



Relevant variables in the study

Hierarchical model

- Highest level (3th) General family characteristics
 - Family income, consumer goods at home, composite socioeconomic-educational index
- Middle level (2nd) Special family and student characteristics prior to admission
 - Characteristics of the parents: occupation, job status, formal education
 - Characteristics of student before admission: secondary education (regular/technicalprofessional/teacher's credential, public/private), reading habits, attendance of preparatory courses, worker/not worker
- Lower level (1st) Student characteristics after admission
 - Characteristics of student after admission: area of studies, course, year of admission
- Controlling variables
 - Sex
 - Age at admission
- Academic performance variables
 - Grades at admission
 - Grades during undergraduate studies
 - Length of stay as student
 - Exit status





Relative performance

- Course at given year has initially n enrolled students
- Relative admission rank in year-course: $R_a = r_a / n$
- Relative exit rank in year-course: $R_e = r_e / n$
- Relative performance:

$$P = R_e - R_a$$

(P varies between 1/n - 1 and 1 - 1/n)





Variables associated to positive relative performance

- Low composite socioeconomic index level
- Public secondary schooling
- Technical or teaching credential secondary schooling
- Not speaking a foreign language
- Being female
- Being younger





Affirmative action program at Unicamp

- Admission grade: average of 500 points
- Extra points in final admission grade for candidates who:
 - Had graduated from public secondary system + 30 pts
 - If so + declared ethnicity/color as Black/Pardo/Native Brazilian + 40 pts
- Admission fee waiver program:
 - For low-income cadidates from public secondary system





Affirmative action program at Unicamp

Socioeconomic and educational profile (2005/6 over 2003/4)

Category **Increase** (Change in % of total) Public secondary schooling: + 18% (29% to 33%) Blacks/Pardos/Native Brazilians (B-P-NB): + 42% (11% to 15%) + 19% (20% to 23%) Low-income public secondary schooling: Low-income B-P-NB: + 61% (6.4% to 10%) Low-income total: + 10% (43% to 47%)





Affirmative action program at Unicamp

Academic results for class admitted in 2005 (after completing first year of studies)

- Public secondary schooling
 - In 53 courses, out of 56, relative performance was positive (in 31 of them within 5% significance)
 - In 31 courses, out of 56, absolute academic performance was higher
- Ethnicity/race/color
 - No significative difference between this group and the complementary one





Conclusions of the study

- At Unicamp students of lower social status perform better along their undergrad studies than those of higher
- Public secondary schooling is one relevant aspect to be taken into account
- Women also perform better than men





Affirmative Action and Academic Merit

It is possible to accomodate affirmative action programs and merit criteria when recruiting undergraduate students to a highly selective (research) university





Research project members

- Renato H. L. Pedrosa
- J. Norberto W. Dachs
- Rafael P. Maia
- Cibele Y. Andrade

State University of Campinas - Unicamp, Brazil

Benilton S. Carvalho

State University of Campinas - Unicamp, Brazil Now at Johns Hopkins University, USA

