

PAGE 4: B.1) ABOUT YOU

| Q1: REGIONAL ECONOMIC COMMUNITY / TRANSPORT CORRIDOR | COMMON MARKET FOR EASTERN AND SOUTHERN AFRICA - COMESA |
|--|---|
| Q2: MEMBERSHIP | Burundi, Comoros, D.R. Congo, Djibouti, Egypt, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Kenya, Libya, Seychelles, Madagascar, Malawi, Mauritius, Rwanda, Sudan, Swaziland, Uganda, Zambia and Zimbabwe |
| Q3: ABOUT YOU | |
| Name: | Deo Kamweya |
| Position | Head of Technical Cooperation and Resources Mobilization |
| Email Address: | dkamweya@comesa.int |

PAGE 6: C.1) TRADE COSTS AND YOUR REGIONAL / CORRIDOR STRATEGY

Q4: How important are trade costs for the competitiveness of exports of goods and services from your region?

Very important

Q5: Additional information.

Trade costs are reflected in the final consumer prices and this greatly affect the consumer choices especially in our regional where consumers choices are largely driven by price

Q6: Do your strategies address the issue of trade costs?

Yes

PAGE 7: C.1) TRADE COSTS AND YOUR REGIONAL / CORRIDOR STRATEGY

Q7: Which document(s) address(es) the issue of trade costs for exports?

Regional development strategy,

Corridor development strategy

Q8: Documents (add weblinks where appropriate):

COMESA Customs Management Act, COMESA Aid for Trade Strategy

PAGE 8: C.1) TRADE COSTS AND YOUR REGIONAL / CORRIDOR STRATEGY

| Q9: How important are trade costs for access to imports? | Very important |
|---|----------------|
| Q10: Do your strategies address the issue of trade costs for imports? | Yes |

PAGE 9: C.1) TRADE COSTS AND YOUR REGIONAL / CORRIDOR STRATEGY

| Q11: Which document(s) address(es) the issue of trade costs for imports? | Regional development strategy, |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| | Corridor development strategy, |
| | Other (please specify) See 12 below |

Q12: Documents (add hyperlinks where appropriate):

Sanitary and Phytosanitary control measures for both exports and imports should be risk based and implemented in a manner that is least costly or least restrictive to trade. Preliminary evidence shows that this is not always the case. Quite often, SPS controls are more restrictive than necessary and at a high cost to traders. In 2015, work will commence to simplify SPS measures along key trade routes and reduce trading costs

PAGE 10: C.1) TRADE COSTS AND YOUR REGIONAL / CORRIDOR STRATEGY

| 13: What are the most important sources of trade osts for the export of merchandise goods in your egion? (You may tick more than 1 box) | Border procedures (trade facilitation), Non-Tariff Measures (including standards), Access to trade finance |
|---|--|
| 14: Additional information. | Respondent skipped this question |

PAGE 11: C.1) TRADE COSTS AND YOUR REGIONAL / CORRIDOR STRATEGY

Q15: What are the most important sources of trade costs for the export of services in your region?(You may tick more than 1 box)

Non-recognition of professional qualifications,

Restrictions on commercial presence,

Restrictions on movement of natural persons,

Poor regulatory environment for services

Q16: Additional information.

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 12: C.1) TRADE COSTS AND YOUR REGIONAL / CORRIDOR STRATEGY

Q17: In which regional markets to which you export merchandise goods and services do you face the highest trade costs? (Please select no more than 5 regions)

North America, Central America,

Western Europe, Central and Eastern Europe,

West Africa

PAGE 13: C.1) TRADE COSTS AND YOUR REGIONAL / CORRIDOR STRATEGY

Q18: FOR MERCHANDISE GOODS: For the markets which you have identified as the highest cost, why are the trade costs high? (You may tick more than 1 box)

Border procedures (trade facilitation),

Tariffs, fees and other charges,

Non-Tariff Measures (including standards),

Transport infrastructure

Q19: Additional information.

In some cases there are issues of tariff escalations and NTBs that come inform of private standards

Q20: FOR SERVICES: For the markets which you have identified as the highest cost, why are the trade costs high. (You may tick more than 1 box)

Non-recognition of professional qualifications,

Domestic restrictions on commercial presence,

Restrictions on movement of natural persons,

Poor regulatory environment for services

Q21: Additional information.

Most of the destination countries subject our regional professionals to additional (and in most cases) peremployment tests even when they are fully qualified from our regional academic and or training institutions.

PAGE 14: C.1) TRADE COSTS AND YOUR REGIONAL / CORRIDOR STRATEGY

Q22: How have your trade costs evolved over the past 5 years?

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 16: D.1) REDUCING TRADE COSTS

Q24: Are there on-going regional actions to reduce trade costs?

Yes

PAGE 17: D.1) REDUCING TRADE COSTS

| Q25: Please specify.(You may tick more than 1 box) | Initiatives led by your organization, |
|---|--|
| | Initiatives led by national governments, |
| | Joint public-private sector initiatives, |
| | Initiatives supported by development partners |
| Q26: Add text and/or weblinks to information on initia | atives: |
| | |
| COMESA Virtual Trade Facilitation System (CVTFS), The Guarantee Bond are some of the own initiatives aimed at | |
| | |
| Q27: In which areas have actions been undertaken | Reducing trade costs for MERCHANDISE GOODS |
| or are actions on-going: | , |
| | Border procedures (trade facilitation), |
| | Non-tariff measures (including standards), |
| | Network infrastructure (ICT, power, telecoms), |
| | Transport infrastructure, |
| | Access to trade finance, |
| | Reforms of national regulatory frameworks for services |

PAGE 18: D.1) REDUCING TRADE COSTS

Negotiations with trading partners on access for

service suppliers

Yes Q28: Is external support aligned with your priorities on reducing trade costs? PAGE 19: D.1) REDUCING TRADE COSTS Dialogue with donors has resulted in attention to Q29: How is external support aligned with your the issue of trade costs priorities?(You may tick more than 1 box) Improved dialogue with the private sector has resulted in this issue being prioritized PAGE 20: D.1) REDUCING TRADE COSTS No opinion Q30: Why is external support not aligned with your priorities?(You may tick more than 1 box) PAGE 22: E.1) TRADE FACILITATION Yes Q31: Is trade facilitation reflected as a priority in your regional strategies? PAGE 23: E.1) TRADE FACILITATION Other (please specify) Q32: In which strategy can trade facilitation be COMESA Treaty and various protocols that have found as a priority?(You may tick more than 1 box) been signed by Member States such as customs protocol, customs union, comesa common investment area as well as various council decions and directives. Respondent skipped this Q33: Additional information. question

PAGE 24: E.1) TRADE FACILITATION

${\bf REGIONAL\ ECONOMIC} \\ {\bf COMMUNITY/TRANSPORT\ CORRIDOR\ QUESTIONNAIRE} \\$

| Q34: Is trade facilitation included in new strategies currently being formulated or updated? | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Regional trade strategy | Yes |
| Regional development strategy | Yes |
| Regional infrastructure strategy | Yes |
| Corridor strategy | Yes |
| Q35: Additional information: | Respondent skipped this question |

PAGE 25: E.1) TRADE FACILITATION

| acilitation Agreement disciplines are covered by xisting regional strategies or approaches?(You nay tick more than 1 box) | Movement of goods intended for import under customs control |
|---|---|
| | , |
| | Formalities connected with importation, exportation and transit |
| | , |
| | Customs cooperation |
| Q37: Additional information. | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q38: Would changes need to be made to regional strategies or approaches to integrate, when adopted, Trade Facilitation Agreement disciplines? | Yes |

PAGE 26: E.1) TRADE FACILITATION

${\bf REGIONAL\ ECONOMIC} \\ {\bf COMMUNITY/TRANSPORT\ CORRIDOR\ QUESTIONNAIRE} \\$

| Q39: Where would changes need to made? (You may tick more than 1 box) | Publication and availability of information, |
|---|---|
| | Appeal and review procedures, |
| | Other measures to enhance impartiality, non- discrimination and transparency |
| | Release and clearance of goods, |
| | Border agency cooperation, |
| | Movement of goods intended for import under customs control |
| | Formalities connected with importation, exportation and transit |
| | Customs cooperation, |
| | Establishment and / or continued operation of national committee |
| Q40: Additional information. | Respondent skipped this question |

PAGE 27: E.1) TRADE FACILITATION

| Q41: Do you plan to seek Aid-for-Trade support to nelp make changes to regional policies so as to mplement the Trade Facilitation Agreement, after adoption? | Yes, after adoption of the Trade Facilitation Agreement |
|--|---|
| Q42: Please specify where you believe you may | To develop regional implementation plans, |
| need support.(You may tick more than one box) | To support regional scheduling of commitments, |
| | To support national ratification, |
| | To support implementation of specific TFA provisions |
| | To align commitments with on-going regional programmes |
| Q43: Additional information. | Respondent skipped this question |

${\bf REGIONAL~ECONOMIC}\\ {\bf COMMUNITY/TRANSPORT~CORRIDOR~QUESTIONNAIRE}$

PAGE 28: E.1) TRADE FACILITATION

| Q44: What difficulties do you face, or do you expect to face, in securing Aid-for-Trade support to help implement trade facilitation reforms and the Trade Facilitation Agreement, when adopted? (You may tick more than 1 box) | Lack of information on funding opportunities, |
|---|--|
| | Differing priorities of donors, |
| | Problems accessing funds, |
| | Ensuring TFA implementation is a priority in regional development planning documents |
| | Programming cycles, |
| | Problems in formulating requests, |
| | Ensuring coherence with past programmes, |
| | Integrating TFA implementation into on-going programmes |
| Q45: Additional information. | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q46: What impact do you consider that implementation of the Trade Facilitation Agreement, when adopted, will have on the evolution of your region's trade costs? | More than 10% increase |
| Q47: Additional information. | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q48: In which region(s) with which you trade would implementation of the Trade Facilitation Agreement, when adopted, have the biggest impact on the trade costs you face? (You may chose more than 1 option) | All regions |
| | |

PAGE 30: F.1) IMPACT: REDUCING TRADE COSTS AND INCLUSIVE, SUSTAINABLE GROWTH

| Q50: What outputs have been achieved through regional actions to reduce trade costs? (Please choose no more than 5 options) | Updated customs legislation, |
|---|---|
| | New rules on transit, |
| | Updated customs working practices, |
| | Improved cooperation between border agencies, |
| | Improved border infrastructure |
| Q51: Additional information. | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q52: What outcomes have been achieved by | Fall in border clearance times, |
| regional actions to reduce trade costs? (Please choose no more than 5 options) | Increase in customs revenue, |
| | Increase in troffic flows through harder posts |
| | Increase in traffic flows through border posts, |
| | Increase in exports volumes, |
| | |

PAGE 31: F.1) IMPACT: REDUCING TRADE COSTS AND INCLUSIVE, SUSTAINABLE GROWTH

| Q54: What impacts have been achieved by regional actions to reduce trade costs? (Please choose no more than 5 options) | Diversification in export markets, Entry into new value chain, |
|--|--|
| | Rise in female employment, Increase in foreign direct investment, |
| | Fall in poverty |
| Q55: Additional information. | Respondent skipped this question |

Q56: Which types of actions have achieved the most positive results in reducing trade costs for goods and services? (Please choose no more than 7 options)

Customs reform, Other border agency reforms, Support for compliance with Non-Tariff Measures, Upgrading transport infrastructure, Improving the regulatory environment for services,

Tariff reforms on product inputs (e.g. on computers for ICT services)

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 32: F.1) IMPACT: REDUCING TRADE COSTS AND INCLUSIVE, SUSTAINABLE GROWTH

| Q58: What have been the key factors in achieving successful reductions in trade costs? (Please choose no more than 5 options) | Alignment of projects with private sector priorities |
|---|---|
| | Funding from development partners, |
| | Sustained engagement of development partners, |
| | Sustained political engagement and commitment by national authorities |
| | Commitment of regional partners to goal |
| Q59: Additional information. | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q60: What contribution do you think reducing trade costs can make to the target of inclusive, sustainable growth?(Please choose no more than 7 options) | Rise in employment, |
| | Rise in female employment, |
| | |
| , | Entry into new value chains, |
| | Entry into new value chains, Moving up value chains, |
| | • |
| | Moving up value chains, |
| | Moving up value chains, Diversification in export markets, |

PAGE 33: F.1) IMPACT: REDUCING TRADE COSTS AND INCLUSIVE, SUSTAINABLE GROWTH

Q62: Has the alignment of Aid-for-Trade support to your organization's needs and priorities changed since the launch of the Aid-for-Trade Initiative in 2005?

Improved

PAGE 34: F.1) IMPACT: REDUCING TRADE COSTS AND INCLUSIVE, SUSTAINABLE GROWTH

| Q63: How has the alignment improved?(You may tick more than one box) | Better dialogue with donors, Better dialogue with South-South partners, Better dialogue with private sector |
|--|---|
| Q64: Additional information. | Respondent skipped this question |

PAGE 35: F.1) IMPACT: REDUCING TRADE COSTS AND INCLUSIVE, SUSTAINABLE GROWTH

| Q65: Why has the alignment declined?(You may tick more than one box) | Other (please specify) This does not apply to COMESA. On the contrary there is more dialogues which has resulted in improved allignment |
|--|---|
| Q66: Additional information. | Respondent skipped this question |

PAGE 36: F.1) IMPACT: REDUCING TRADE COSTS AND INCLUSIVE, SUSTAINABLE GROWTH

| Q67: What impact has the Aid-for-Trade Initiative had since its launch in 2005? (Please choose no more than 5 options) | Increase in resources available for trade development |
|--|--|
| | More attention to trade issues in development, |
| | More priority given by national authorities to trade issues in national development planning , |
| | More priority given by donors to trade issues in national development planning |
| | , |
| | More priority given by NGOs to trade issues |

| Q68: Additional information. | Respondent skipped this question |
|---|---|
| Q69: What potential future contribution can the Aidfor-Trade Initiative make to the post-2015 development agenda?(Please choose no more than 5 options) | Contribution to improving the business and regulatory environment, |
| | Ensuring continued attention to trade issues in development |
| | , |
| | Engaging the private sector in development issues |
| | , |
| | Making a contribution to economic growth and poverty eradication through inclusive, sustainable development |
| | , |
| | Positive impacts on women's economic empowerment |
| Q70: Additional information. | Respondent skipped this question |
| | |

PAGE 37: F.1) IMPACT: REDUCING TRADE COSTS AND INCLUSIVE, SUSTAINABLE GROWTH

Q71: Additional information on "Reducing trade costs and inclusive, sustainable growth". If there is anything you would like to add on the topic of "Reducing trade costs for inclusive, sustainable growth", which you feel has not been covered in this questionnaire, please use this box.

Respondent skipped this question