



DONOR QUESTIONNAIRE ON AID FOR TRADE

This questionnaire is intended to solicit information about the progress made since the 2008 self assessment. It focuses in particular on the outcomes of aid-for-trade strategies and programmes to further knowledge sharing among stakeholders.

For further details or additional forms please visit www.oecd.org/dac/aft/questionnaire or contact the secretariats of the OECD (aft.monitoring@oecd.org) or the WTO (aft.monitoring@wto.org).

COUNTRY: AFRICAN DEVELOPMENT BANK

A. YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY											
1. HAS YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY CHANGED SINCE 2008?											
YES 🗌	YES ☐ NO ☑ NOT SURE ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐										
1.1 If YES, please rate	1 If YES, please rate the importance of each of the following changes?										
Greater focus on: MOST IMPORTANT LESS NOT IMPORTANT I											
• Economic growth											
• Poverty reduction											
Climate change and g											
 Gender equality 											
• Regional integration											
Monitoring and evaluation	ating results										
Different geographic focu	IS										
Please specify:											
Different thematic focus											
Please specify:											
Phasing out of aid for trad	de										
Other											
Please specify:											
1.2 If YES, please rate	e the importance	e of the follo	owing driving fo	orces behind t	hese changes:						
		MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT SURE					
The economic crisis											

strategies of partner countries										
Changed priorities in the development strategies of regional bodies										
Change of national government										
Changes in bilateral trade and investment relations										
Changed priorities in your development cooperation										
New research, approaches, or aid instruments										
More focus on triangular co-operation										
Other										
Please specify:										
2. LOOKING AHEAD TO 2013, IS YO AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY?	OUR GOVERN	MENT PLAN	NING ANY CH	ANGES TO ITS	5					
YES ⊠ NO □	NOT SURE			NOT APPLICABLE						
2.1 If YES, please rate the importance of the changes your government is planning:										
2.1 If YES, please rate the importance	of the chang	es your gover	rnment is plan	ning:						
2.1 If YES, please rate the importance Greater focus on:	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT SURE					
	MOST		LESS	NOT	NOT					
Greater focus on:	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT					
Greater focus on: Economic growth	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT					
Greater focus on: Economic growth Poverty reduction	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT					
Greater focus on: Economic growth Poverty reduction Climate change and green growth	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT					
Greater focus on: Economic growth Poverty reduction Climate change and green growth Gender equality	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT					
Greater focus on: Economic growth Poverty reduction Climate change and green growth Gender equality Regional integration	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT					
Greater focus on: Economic growth Poverty reduction Climate change and green growth Gender equality Regional integration Monitoring and evaluating results	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT					
Greater focus on: Economic growth Poverty reduction Climate change and green growth Gender equality Regional integration Monitoring and evaluating results Different geographic focus	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT					
Greater focus on: Economic growth Poverty reduction Climate change and green growth Gender equality Regional integration Monitoring and evaluating results Different geographic focus Please specify:	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT					
Greater focus on: Economic growth Poverty reduction Climate change and green growth Gender equality Regional integration Monitoring and evaluating results Different geographic focus Please specify: Different thematic focus	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT					
Greater focus on: Economic growth Poverty reduction Climate change and green growth Gender equality Regional integration Monitoring and evaluating results Different geographic focus Please specify: Different thematic focus Please specify:	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT					

D.	TOUR AID-FOR-TRAD	E FINANCING	3								
DEN	VIAND										
3.	3. HAS THE DEMAND FOR AID FOR TRADE FROM YOUR PARTNER COUNTRIES CHANGED SINCE 2008?										
SIGN	NIFICANTLY INCREASED 🖂	INCREASED	LITT	LE/NO	CHANG	E 🗌	DECLINED	NOT SURE			
3.1 If the demand increased, please describe from which countries and for which type of aid for trade: Demand has increased for the LDC countries, and regarding sectors, it has increased for transport.											
4.	HAS THE DEMAND FO CHANGED SINCE 200		RADE FOR	REGI	ONAL	INTEGRA	TION PROGRA	AMMES			
SIGN	NIFICANTLY INCREASED	INCREASED	□ ⊔тт	E/NO (CHANGE		DECLINED	NOT SURE			
4.1	If the demand increase for trade: East and So		-	which	h regio	ns and fo	or which type o	f aid			
RES	OURCES										
5.	HAVE YOUR AID-FOR	-TRADE RESC	OURCES IN	CREAS	SED SII	NCE 2008	3?				
	YES 🖂		NO [NOT SURI				
						'					
6.	DOES YOUR AGENCY	HAVE INDICA	ATIVE FOR	WARE	SPEN	IDING PL	ANS?				
	YES 🔀		NO [NOT SUR				
6.1.	If YES, do these forwa	rd spending p	lans includ	e estii	mates	for aid fo	r trade?				
	YES 🔀		NO [NOT SUR				
6.2 trad	If YES, please specify le amount to roughly UA					-	d spending plar	ns for aid for			
C.	IMPLEMENTING YOU	R AID-FOR-T	RADE STRA	ATEGY							
7.	IN HOW MANY OF YO	OUR POLICY I	DIALOGUES	S IS TF	RADE I	NOW A R	EGULAR TOPI	C			
		> 75%	75% - 50%	50%	- 25%	< 25%	NOT SURE	NOT APPLICABLE			
With	n partner countries				\boxtimes						
With	n regional communities										
8.	IS THIS AN IMPROVE	MENT COMP	ARED TO 2	2008?							
		SIGNIFICANT	MODER	ATE	LITTL	E/NONE	NOT SURE	NOT APPLICABLE			
With	n partner countries	ies 🖂 🗆 🗀 [

With regional communities	\boxtimes									
9. IS THE PRIVATE SECT	OR INVOLVED) IN Y	OUR DIA	LOGUE?						
	ALWAYS	ALWAYS SOMETIMES RARELY/NEVER NO						NOT SURE		
With partner countries	th partner countries									
With regional communities				\boxtimes						
9.1 Please describe and p private sector:	rovide exampl	les of	your exp	erience in	dialogu	ies th	at invo	olve	the	
40 15 00/11 500/57/100/	OLVED IN VOI	ID DI	AL OCUE	2						
10. IS CIVIL SOCIETY INVOLVED IN YOUR DIALOGUE? ALWAYS SOMETIMES RARELY/NEVER NOT SUI									NOTCURE	
	ALWAYS				KAKE	LY/NE\	/EK		NOT SURE	
With partner countries										
With regional communities 10.1 Please describe and p			L							
African Voice". The aim of the society on key areas which I Representatives of the CSOs sixth general capital increas committee, as well as provide Environmental and Social In need for a continuous and so	on Monday, 1st March 2010. The Consultation forum was held under the overall theme of "Raising the African Voice". The aim of this Forum was to have an open and substantive consultation with the civil society on key areas which have the highest impact for sustainable and inclusive development. Representatives of the CSOs and Networks discussed the Bank's strategic focus under the proposed sixth general capital increase (GCI VI), agreed on the draft terms of reference plan for the AfDB/ CSO committee, as well as provided guidance on the Bank's Information Disclosure Policy and the Environmental and Social Impact Safeguards Policy. All participants and Bank staff agreed on the need for a continuous and structured dialogue as opposed to ad hoc meetings to enhance the added value of the Bank's re-engagement to its development agenda.									
11. ARE YOU HARMONIS WERE BEFORE 2008		RATE	GY WITH	I OTHER I	OONOR	S BET	TER N	ow	THAN YOU	
_	RATELY	RARE	LY/NEVER		NOT SUR	E 🗌	N	IOT A	APPLICABLE	
11.1 If you are harmonisin	g better, how	often	do you u	se the fol	lowing (appro	aches?	•		
	ALWAYS		SOME	TIMES	RARE	LY/NE\	/ER		NOT SURE	
Joint needs assessment										
Co-financing										
Sector-wide approaches				\leq						
Joint implementation				$\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$						
Common monitoring				$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$						
Joint evaluation				$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$						
Other										
Please specify:					1					
12. HAS ALIGNMENT OF	YOUR AID-FO	R-TR	ADE PRO	GRAMM	E IMPR	OVED	SINCI	E 20	08?	
		SIGN	IFICANT	MODERA		TLE/	NOT SURI		NOT APPLICABLE	

With partner country priorities										
With the Enhanced integrated Framework										
With regional priorities			\boxtimes							
Please elaborate with examp is based on the strategies of				-					/era	III strategy,
12.1. How many of your a	id-for-trade p	rograr	nmes ai	re alig	ned ar	ound tro	ade p	oriorities d	of?	
	75% -	50%	50% - 25%		< 25%		NOT SURE		NOT APPLICABLE	
Partner countries' development strategies]							
The DTIS Action Matrix (for LDCs)]							
Regional organisations development strategies]							
13. HAS THE MONITOR	ING OF YOU	R AID-I	OR-TR	ADE P	ROGRA	AMMES	SIME	PROVED S	SING	CE 2008?
SIGNIFICANTLY 🖂	MODERATE	LY 🗌		RARE	ELY/NEV	⁄ER 🛚		NOT	r sure 🔲	
13.1 If there have been in	mprovements	, how	often do	o you:						
			ALWA	NYS	SOME	TIMES	RAF	RELY/NEVER	2	NOT SURE
Use your own monitoring			\boxtimes							
Rely on partner countries' m	onitoring proc	esses								
Use joint monitoring arrange	ements				D	◁				
13.2 Please provide examprogrammes: The which in addition timpact evaluations	AfDB has to project	an Or	perati pletio	ons on re	Evalu	uation	ı de	partme	nt	(OPEV),
D. IS YOUR AID FOR TH	RADE WORKI	NG?								
14. DOES YOUR AID-FO	R-TRADE STE	RATEG	Y DEFIN	IE CLE	AR OB	JECTIVE	ES?			
YES 🛚	NO [NO	OT SURE			NOT AP	PLIC	CABLE
14.1 If YES, what are the	objectives of	your a	id-for-tr	ade st	rategy	?				
			IOST DRTANT	IN	1PORTA	NT		ESS DRTANT	II	NOT MPORTANT
Enhanced understanding of the role of trade in economic development (awareness)										
Increased trade profile (mair	streaming)				\boxtimes					
Larger aid-for-trade flows	П			\square					П	

Increased trade	Increased exports						Ш		Ш		
Increased economic growth	Increased trade										
Greater environmental sustainability	Export diversification										
Greater environmental sustainability	Increased econo		\boxtimes								
Greater gender equality	Reduced poverty	Þ									
Other	Greater environi	mental sustainabil	ity]		\boxtimes				
Section Please specify:	Greater gender e	equality					\boxtimes				
15. WHAT IS THE SHARE OF YOUR AID FOR TRADE PROGRAMMES THAT CONTAIN QUANTIFIABLE OBJECTIVES? > 75%	Other										
QUANTIFIABLE OBJECTIVES? >75% □ 75% - 50% □ 50% - 25% □ NOT SURE □ NOT APPLICABLE □ 16. HAS YOUR GOVERNMENT EVALUATED ITS AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY, PROGRAMMES OR PROJECTS? YES NO NOT SURE Overall strategy □ □ □ Programmes and projects □ □ □ Both □ □ □ 16.2 If NO, is your government planning an evaluation of its: Overall strategy □ □ □ Programmes and projects □ □ □ Both □ □ □ 16.3 If YES, for which year is the evaluation planned? 2010 2011 2012 2013 Overall strategy □ □ □ □ Programmes and projects □ □ □ □ Programmes and projects □ □ □ □ Programmes and projects □ □ □ □ Programmes and	Please specify:										
QUANTIFIABLE OBJECTIVES? >75% □ 75% - 50% □ 50% - 25% □ NOT SURE □ NOT APPLICABLE □ 16. HAS YOUR GOVERNMENT EVALUATED ITS AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY, PROGRAMMES OR PROJECTS? YES NO NOT SURE Overall strategy □ □ Both □ □ 16.1 If YES, please provide a copy of the(se) evaluation(s) when submitting this questionnaire. 16.2 If NO, is your government planning an evaluation of its: Overall strategy □ □ Programmes and projects □ □ Both □ □ 16.3 If YES, for which year is the evaluation planned? 2010 2011 2012 2013 Overall strategy □ □ □ □ Programmes and projects □ □ □											
16. HAS YOUR GOVERNMENT EVALUATED ITS AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY, PROGRAMMES OR PROJECTS? YES NO NOT SURE Overall strategy				ID FOR	TRADE	PROG	RAMMES	THAT CO	NTAIN	l	
Overall strategy Programmes and projects Both 16.1 If YES, please provide a copy of the(se) evaluation(s) when submitting this questionnaire. 16.2 If NO, is your government planning an evaluation of its: Overall strategy Programmes and projects Both 16.3 If YES, for which year is the evaluation planned? Overall strategy Programmes and projects 2010 2011 2012 2013 Overall strategy Programmes and projects	> 75% 🔀	75% - 50% 🗌	50% - 2	5% 🗌	< 259	% 🔲	NOT S	URE 🗌	NOT /	APPLICABLE	
Overall strategy Programmes and projects Both 16.1 If YES, please provide a copy of the(se) evaluation(s) when submitting this questionnaire. 16.2 If NO, is your government planning an evaluation of its: YES NO NOT SURE Overall strategy Programmes and projects Both 2010 2011 2012 2013 Overall strategy Programmes and projects Solution 1											
Overall strategy											
Programmes and projects			IT EVAL	UATED I	TS AID-	FOR-T	RADE STR	ATEGY, P	ROGR	AMMES	
Both			IT EVAL	UATED I		FOR-T			ROGR		
16.1 If YES, please provide a copy of the(se) evaluation(s) when submitting this questionnaire. 16.2 If NO, is your government planning an evaluation of its: YES NO NOT SURE Overall strategy	OR PROJ		IT EVAL	UATED I	YES	FOR-T	N	0	ROGR		
16.2 If NO, is your government planning an evaluation of its: YES NO NOT SURE Overall strategy	OR PROJ	ECTS?	IT EVAL	UATED I	YES	FOR-T	N	0	ROGR		
Overall strategy	Overall strategy Programmes and	ECTS?	IT EVAL	UATED I	YES	FOR-T	N	0	ROGR		
Overall strategy	Overall strategy Programmes and Both	d projects			YES 🖂		N C C	o]]		NOT SURE	
Programmes and projects □ □ □ Both □ □ □ 16.3 If YES, for which year is the evaluation planned? 2010 2011 2012 2013 Overall strategy □ □ □ □ □ Programmes and projects □ □ □ □ □	Overall strategy Programmes and Both 16.1 If YES, pla	d projects ease provide a co	opy of th	ne(se) ev	YES	n(s) wh	N [[] nen submit	o]]		NOT SURE	
Both □ □ 16.3 If YES, for which year is the evaluation planned? 2010 2011 2012 2013 Overall strategy □ □ □ □ Programmes and projects □ □ □ □	Overall strategy Programmes and Both 16.1 If YES, pla	d projects ease provide a co	opy of th	ne(se) ev	YES	n(s) wh	N [[] nen submin	o]]] !tting this q		NOT SURE	
16.3 If YES, for which year is the evaluation planned? 2010 2011 2012 2013 Overall strategy Image: Color of the projects in the evaluation planned? Image: Color of the planned? Image: Color of the planned? Programmes and projects Image: Color of the planned? Image: Color of the planned? Image: Color of the planned? Programmes and projects Image: Color of the planned? Image: Color of the planned? Image: Color of the planned?	Overall strategy Programmes and Both 16.1 If YES, pla 16.2 If NO, is y	d projects ease provide a co	opy of th	ne(se) ev	YES	n(s) wh	N C Den submin	o i i i i tting this q		NOT SURE	
2010 2011 2012 2013 Overall strategy □ □ □ □ Programmes and projects □ □ □ □	Overall strategy Programmes and Both 16.1 If YES, pla 16.2 If NO, is y	d projects ease provide a co	opy of th	ne(se) ev	YES	n(s) wh	N C Den submin	o i i i i tting this q		NOT SURE	
Overall strategy	Overall strategy Programmes and Both 16.1 If YES, plu 16.2 If NO, is y Overall strategy Programmes and	d projects ease provide a co	opy of th	ne(se) ev	YES	n(s) wh	N C Den submin	o i i i i tting this q		NOT SURE	
Programmes and projects	Or PROJOURN OVERALL STRATEGY Programmes and Both 16.1 If YES, place of the Programmes and Overall strategy Programmes and Both	d projects ease provide a co	opy of th	ne(se) ev	YES	n(s) wh	N C Den submin	o i i i i tting this q		NOT SURE	
	Or PROJOURN OVERALL STRATEGY Programmes and Both 16.1 If YES, place of the Programmes and Overall strategy Programmes and Both	d projects ease provide a co	opy of th	ne(se) eveng an eve	YES	n(s) wh	N Control of the cont	o co c	questio	NOT SURE	
Both	Or PROJUTE OVER THE PROJUTE OF THE P	d projects ease provide a co	opy of th	ation pla	YES	n(s) wh	N Control of the cont	0	questio	NOT SURE Donnaire. NOT SURE Donnaire.	
	Or PROJUSTICAL OF PRO	d projects ease provide a convolute a convolute government d projects r which year is the	opy of th	ation pld	YES	n(s) wh	N Control of the cont	0	questio	NOT SURE Donnaire. NOT SURE Donnaire. 2013	

17. PLEASE RATE THE IMPORTANCE OF THE FOLLOWING CHALLENGES IN EVALUATING YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY, PROGRAMMES AND PROJECTS:

	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT				
Difficulty in identifying quantifiable objectives				\boxtimes				
Difficulty in obtaining in-country data				\boxtimes				
Absence of suitable indicators	\boxtimes							
Budgetary constraints								
Ability of in-country staff to collect and report data								
Ability of project partners to collect and report data								
Difficulty of assigning trade outcomes to the programme								
Difficulty in identifying quantifiable objectives								
18. ARE THERE ANY PARTICULAR EXAMPLES OF YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE PROCESSES, PROGRAMMES OR PROJECTS THAT HAVE OBTAINED GOOD RESULTS THAT YOU THINK COULD CONTRIBUTE TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF GOOD PRACTICES? Please list and describe: THE NIGERIA NATIONAL ELECTRIC POWER AUTHORITY- COMMUNAUTÉ ELECTRIQUE DU BÉNIN PROJECT IS ONE EXAMPLE. DESPITE SOME CHALLENGES FACED BY THIS AMBITIOUS PROJECT, IT ACHIEVED MUCH, AND FOR MANY OF THE PARTIES INVOLVED. THE INTERCONNECTION BETWEEN NIGERIA, BENIN, AND TOGO, IMPROVED THE LIVES OF PEOPLE IN EACH OF THE COUNTRIES THROUGH INCREASED ENERGY AVAILABILITY, AND IT HAS PROVIDED A STEADY SOURCE OF INCOME TO NIGERIA WHO EXPORTS THE VALUABLE ENERGY TO THE OTHER TWO BENEFICIARY COUNTRIES.								
19. DOES YOUR GOVERNMENT CONSIDER IT USEFUL TO MONITOR AID FOR TRADE AT THE GLOBAL LEVEL?								
VERY USEFUL USEFUL	Ш	NOT USEFUL	NO	SURE				
20. WHAT DO YOU SEE AS MAJOR CHALLENGES OR AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT IN MONITORING AID FOR TRADE AT THE GLOBAL LEVEL? Please describe and provide examples: THE DEVELOPMENT OF TRADE INDICATORS IS A MAJOR CHALLENGE/OPPORTUNITY, AS IS MONITORING THE ACTUAL FLOWS AND DISTINGUISHING BETWEEN ODA AND AID FOR TRADE.								