

DONOR QUESTIONNAIRE ON AID FOR TRADE

This questionnaire is intended to solicit information about the progress made since the 2008 self assessment. It focuses in particular on the outcomes of aid-for-trade strategies and programmes to further knowledge sharing among stakeholders.

For further details or additional forms please visit www.oecd.org/dac/aft/questionnaire or contact the secretariats of the OECD (aft.monitoring@oecd.org) or the WTO (aft.monitoring@wto.org).

COUNTRY: United Nations Economic Commission for Europe(UNECE)

A. YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY

1. HAS YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY CHANGED SINCE 2008?					
YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE <input type="checkbox"/>		
1.1 If YES, please rate the importance of each of the following changes?					
Greater focus on:	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT SURE
• Economic growth	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Poverty reduction	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Climate change and green growth	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Gender equality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Regional integration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Monitoring and evaluating results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Different geographic focus	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Please specify: See answers to questions 3,4 and 13.</i>					
Different thematic focus	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Please specify:</i>					
Phasing out of aid for trade	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Please specify:</i>					
1.2 If YES, please rate the importance of the following driving forces behind these changes:					
	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT SURE
The economic crisis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Changed priorities in the development strategies of partner countries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Changed priorities in the development strategies of regional bodies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Change of national government	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Changes in bilateral trade and investment relations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Changed priorities in your development cooperation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
New research, approaches, or aid instruments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
More focus on triangular co-operation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Please specify:</i>					

2. LOOKING AHEAD TO 2013, IS YOUR GOVERNMENT PLANNING ANY CHANGES TO ITS AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY?					
YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE <input type="checkbox"/>		
2.1 If YES, please rate the importance of the changes your government is planning:					
Greater focus on:	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT SURE
Economic growth	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Poverty reduction	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Climate change and green growth	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Gender equality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Regional integration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Monitoring and evaluating results	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Different geographic focus	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Please specify:</i>					
Different thematic focus	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Please specify:</i>					
Phasing out of aid for trade	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Please specify:</i>					

B. YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE FINANCING

DEMAND

3. HAS THE DEMAND FOR AID FOR TRADE FROM YOUR PARTNER COUNTRIES CHANGED SINCE 2008?

SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASED INCREASED LITTLE/NO CHANGE DECLINED NOT SURE

3.1 *If the demand increased, please describe from which countries and for which type of aid for trade: Requests were mainly related to trade policy and regulation; economic infrastructure; and building productive capacities, as follow: 1) The United Nations Special Programme for Economies in Central Asia (SPECA) countries (Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, Afghanistan and Azerbaijan) requested UNECE assistance in achieving greater inclusion in the WTO AfT initiative. In collaboration with other UN agencies, UNECE assistance involved the provision of organisational and advisory support to the “Ministerial Conference on AfT Road Map for SPECA”, held in Baku, Azerbaijan on 1-2 December 2010. The conference ended with the adoption of a Ministerial Declaration, which articulates regional trade development priorities and a common framework for guiding the SPECA AfT process. The Conference also saw the launching of the “SPECA Regional AfT Implementation and Monitoring Council”, which brings together representatives from beneficiary countries, interested donors and UN agencies (including, International Trade Center -ITC; UNECE; United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific -ESCAP; United Nations Development Programme-UNDP; and, United Nations Industrial Development Organisation- UNIDO), to monitor and support the implementation of AfT activities identified in the national and regional action plans presented at the Conference. 2) UNECE is supporting Belarus trade development and regional integration efforts through a comprehensive needs assessment of procedural and regulatory barriers to trade in goods. 3) The governments of Belarus, the Russian Federation and Kazakhstan solicited UNECE-UN Centre for Trade Facilitation and Electronic Business (UN/CEFACT) advisory opinion and substantive comments on a number of technical and legal documents prepared to support the implementation of the Customs Union established by these countries in January 2010 4) South Africa, the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS), and member states of the UN Economic Commission for Africa (ECA); UN Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC); the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP); and, United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Western Asia (ESCWA) have requested UNECE assistance in setting up the regulatory and technical infrastructures for the implementation of international commercial agricultural quality standards developed by the UNECE, as well as training and advisory services to raise the awareness of (a) producers, (b) traders, (c) consumers and (d) government inspection services on commercial agricultural quality standards, particularly those developed by the UNECE, and their practical application. 5) The CIS countries requested UNECE’s assistance in strengthening market surveillance and inspection systems to address the proliferation of low quality (domestically produced and imported) goods. 6) Albania has requested UNECE assistance in implementing a Single Window for import and export clearance.*

4. HAS THE DEMAND FOR AID FOR TRADE FOR REGIONAL INTEGRATION PROGRAMMES CHANGED SINCE 2008?

SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASED INCREASED LITTLE/NO CHANGE DECLINED NOT SURE

4.1 *If the demand increased, please describe from which regions and for which type of aid for trade:*

1) *Regional integration ranks high on the agenda of the United Nations Special Programme for Economies in Central Asia (SPECA) Regional AfT Implementation and Monitoring Council. The Council has been tasked with the responsibility of articulating a common strategic approach that creates synergies between national and regional AfT activities, and gears the entire AfT process to support SPECA countries (Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, Afghanistan and Azerbaijan) regional and global integration efforts.*

2) *In collaboration with the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (UN-ESCAP), UNECE has been supporting the activities of SPECA Working Group on Transport and Border Crossing Facilitation, which involve promoting harmonized regulatory frameworks, standards and norms at the regional level as well as a coordinated approach to transport infrastructure development and transit transport facilitation.*

3) *Members of the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) requested support in implementing electronic business standards developed by UNECE-UN Centre for Trade Facilitation and Electronic Business (UN/CEFACT).*

4) *Central Asian countries requested assistance in implementing Single Window arrangements for import and export clearance at the regional level. The assistance is provided by UNECE, in collaboration with UNESCAP, and involves developing common models and approaches for the design, implementation and extension of Single Window arrangements, along with building the necessary expertise and skills*

RESOURCES

5. HAVE YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE RESOURCES INCREASED SINCE 2008?

YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/>
---	-----------------------------	-----------------------------------

6. DOES YOUR AGENCY HAVE INDICATIVE FORWARD SPENDING PLANS?

YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/>
------------------------------	--	-----------------------------------

6.1. If YES, do these forward spending plans include estimates for aid for trade?

YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/>
------------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------------

6.2 *If YES, please specify these estimates:*

C. IMPLEMENTING YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY

7. IN HOW MANY OF YOUR POLICY DIALOGUES IS TRADE NOW A REGULAR TOPIC OF DISCUSSION?

	> 75%	75% - 50%	50% - 25%	< 25%	NOT SURE	NOT APPLICABLE
With partner countries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
With regional communities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

8. IS THIS AN IMPROVEMENT COMPARED TO 2008?

	SIGNIFICANT	MODERATE	LITTLE/NONE	NOT SURE	NOT APPLICABLE
With partner countries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

With regional communities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. IS THE PRIVATE SECTOR INVOLVED IN YOUR DIALOGUE?					
	ALWAYS	SOMETIMES	RARELY/NEVER	NOT SURE	
With partner countries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
With regional communities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<p>9.1 Please describe and provide examples of your experience in dialogues that involve the private sector:</p> <p>1) The private sector was strongly represented at the 2010 Baku Ministerial Conference on Aft Roadmap for United Nations Special Programme for Economies in Central Asia (SPECA) countries (Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, Afghanistan and Azerbaijan), and enriched the debate with their views on impediments to greater regional integration at the micro-level of enterprises.</p> <p>2) Assistance to Central Asia countries in implementing the Single Window arrangement at a regional level involved the creation of the UN Network of Experts for Paperless Trade in Asia Pacific (UNNExT) in 2009 to support public-private partnership. The network brings together experts, ICT companies and relevant private and government institutions to provide a peer-to-peer knowledge sharing platform and support the identification of regional priorities. This assistance is provided in collaboration with UN Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP).</p> <p>3) UNECE technical assistance activities for promoting commercial agricultural quality standards involve targeted efforts to enable private sector institutions, producers and growers, to participate in international standard-setting activities.</p> <p>4) The private sector is a target beneficiary of all UNECE training activities.</p>					

10. IS CIVIL SOCIETY INVOLVED IN YOUR DIALOGUE?					
	ALWAYS	SOMETIMES	RARELY/NEVER	NOT SURE	
With partner countries	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
With regional communities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<p>10.1 Please describe and provide examples of your experience in dialogues that involve civil society:</p> <p>1) Representatives from non-governmental research institutions are members of the UN Network of Experts for Paperless Trade in Asia Pacific (UNNExT) of Central Asia, created in collaboration with the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP) to support public-private partnership for the implementation of the Single Window Arrangement at a regional level.</p> <p>2) Civil society organisations are a target beneficiary of UNECE training activities</p>					

11. ARE YOU HARMONISING YOUR STRATEGY WITH OTHER DONORS BETTER NOW THAN YOU WERE BEFORE 2008?									
SIGNIFICANTLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MODERATELY	<input type="checkbox"/>	RARELY/NEVER	<input type="checkbox"/>	NOT SURE	<input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE	<input type="checkbox"/>
11.1 If you are harmonising better, how often do you use the following approaches?									
	ALWAYS	SOMETIMES	RARELY/NEVER	NOT SURE					
Joint needs assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>					
Co-financing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>					
Sector-wide approaches	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>					
Joint implementation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>					

Common monitoring	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Joint evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please specify:

- 1) Among the key tasks of the United Nations Special Programme for Economies in Central Asia (SPECA) Regional AfT Implementation and Monitoring Council is to coordinate between AfT donors, implementing agencies and SPECA countries (Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, Afghanistan and Azerbaijan), in order to ensure coherence in activities at the national and regional levels and identify resource gaps in meeting country priorities. Activities are clustered under the five AfT areas, with each area featuring well articulated time-bound objectives, prioritized actions, and a clear division of responsibilities.
- 2) UNECE works closely with members of the UN Chiefs Executive Board (CEB) interagency cluster on Trade and Productive Capacity to ensure proper harmonisation of its trade-related technical assistance projects with the cluster's activities in the region.
- 3) UNECE is an active participant in the UN "Delivering as One" pilot in Albania, which integrates all UN activities under One UN Programme, One Budgetary Framework, One Leader and One Office.

12. HAS ALIGNMENT OF YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE PROGRAMME IMPROVED SINCE 2008?

	SIGNIFICANT	MODERATE	LITTLE/ NONE	NOT SURE	NOT APPLICABLE
With partner country priorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
With the Enhanced integrated Framework	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
With regional priorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please elaborate with examples:

- 1) In carrying out its programme of work, the United Nations Special Programme for Economies in Central Asia (SPECA) Regional AfT Implementation and Monitoring Council will be using a common strategic framework that focuses on SPECA countries' (Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, Afghanistan and Azerbaijan) development objectives and priorities, as identified in the national and regional AfT action plans. The framework also has a view to mainstreaming trade into national development strategies.
- 2) UNECE-UN Centre for Trade Facilitation and Electronic Business (UN/CEFACT) has developed a strategic action plan to engage the transition economies in elaborating electronic business standards. Progress to date has involved bringing on board key standards-setting organizations in the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) region, as well as national standards-setting agencies, including the Regional Commonwealth in the field of Communications (RC); and, the CIS Inter-State Council on Standardization, Metrology and Certification.

12.1. How many of your aid-for-trade programmes are aligned around trade priorities of?

	> 75%	75% - 50%	50% - 25%	< 25%	NOT SURE	NOT APPLICABLE
Partner countries' development strategies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The DTIS Action Matrix (for LDCs)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Regional organisations development strategies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

13. HAS THE MONITORING OF YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE PROGRAMMES IMPROVED SINCE 2008?

SIGNIFICANTLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MODERATELY <input type="checkbox"/>	RARELY/NEVER <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

13.1 If there have been improvements, how often do you:

	ALWAYS	SOMETIMES	RARELY/NEVER	NOT SURE
Use your own monitoring	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rely on partner countries' monitoring processes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Use joint monitoring arrangements	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please provide examples and describe your experience with monitoring your aid-for-trade programmes:

1) In collaboration with national and regional counterparts, the United Nations Special Programme for Economies in Central Asia (SPECA) Regional AfT Implementation and Monitoring Council is developing a common monitoring and evaluation system to assess: i- Gaps between AfT national and regional priorities and associated action plans; ii- Gaps between national and regional resource requirements and the resources being made available; and, iii- Progress toward achieving the established objectives of SPECA countries (Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, Afghanistan and Azerbaijan).

2) Several UNECE trade-related technical assistance activities are funded by the UN Development Account (DA), and they are monitored using the expected achievements (EAs) and indicators of achievement (IAs) developed in consultation with the UN Department of Social and Economic Affairs (UN-DESA) in its capacity as the Development Account Manager. Progress reports are submitted to the DESA and the donor on an annual basis.

3) Monitoring for other trade-related assistance activities depends, in part, on the source of funding, but generally follows the logical framework principles.

4) As an established practice, UNECE distributes end of training evaluation surveys to assess achievement of immediate objectives.

D. IS YOUR AID FOR TRADE WORKING?

14. DOES YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY DEFINE CLEAR OBJECTIVES?

YES NO NOT SURE NOT APPLICABLE

14.1 If YES, what are the objectives of your aid-for-trade strategy?

	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT
Enhanced understanding of the role of trade in economic development (awareness)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Increased trade profile (mainstreaming)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Larger aid-for-trade flows	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Increased exports	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Increased trade	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Export diversification	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Increased economic growth	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reduced poverty	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Greater environmental sustainability	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Greater gender equality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Please specify:</i>				

15. WHAT IS THE SHARE OF YOUR AID FOR TRADE PROGRAMMES THAT CONTAIN QUANTIFIABLE OBJECTIVES?

> 75% <input type="checkbox"/>	75% - 50% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	50% - 25% <input type="checkbox"/>	< 25% <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE <input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------------	---	------------------------------------	--------------------------------	-----------------------------------	---

16. HAS YOUR GOVERNMENT EVALUATED ITS AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY, PROGRAMMES OR PROJECTS?

	YES	NO	NOT SURE
Overall strategy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Programmes and projects	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Both	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

16.1 *If YES, please provide a copy of the(se) evaluation(s) when submitting this questionnaire.*

16.2 *If NO, is your government planning an evaluation of its:*

	YES	NO	NOT SURE
Overall strategy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Programmes and projects	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Both	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

16.3 *If YES, for which year is the evaluation planned?*

	2010	2011	2012	2013
Overall strategy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Programmes and projects	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Both	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

17. PLEASE RATE THE IMPORTANCE OF THE FOLLOWING CHALLENGES IN EVALUATING YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY, PROGRAMMES AND PROJECTS:

	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT
Difficulty in identifying quantifiable objectives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Difficulty in obtaining in-country data	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Absence of suitable indicators	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Budgetary constraints	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ability of in-country staff to collect and report data	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ability of project partners to collect and report data	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Difficulty of assigning trade outcomes to the programme	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Difficulty in identifying quantifiable objectives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

18. ARE THERE ANY PARTICULAR EXAMPLES OF YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE PROCESSES, PROGRAMMES OR PROJECTS THAT HAVE OBTAINED GOOD RESULTS THAT YOU THINK COULD CONTRIBUTE TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF GOOD PRACTICES?

Please list and describe:

A CRITICAL REQUISITE FOR THE SUCCESS OF ANY TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE EFFORT IS THE ABILITY TO ENSURE NATIONAL OWNERSHIP. UNECE'S EXPERIENCE SHOWS THAT THIS CAN BE ACHIEVED THROUGH PROMOTING PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP ARRANGEMENTS FROM DAY ONE OF PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION. THIS IS THE CASE WITH TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROJECT CARRIED OUT BY UNECE-UN CENTRE FOR TRADE FACILITATION AND ELECTRONIC BUSINESS. (UN/CEFACT). IN COLLABORATION WITH THE UNITED NATIONS ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COMMISSION FOR ASIA AND THE PACIFIC (ESCAP). THIS PROJECT ASSISTS CENTRAL ASIAN COUNTRIES IN IMPLEMENTING SINGLE WINDOWS FOR EXPORT AND IMPORT CLERANCE AT A REGIONAL LEVEL. THE PROJECT INVOLVED THE CREATION OF THE UN NETWORK OF EXPERTS FOR PAPERLESS TRADE IN ASIA PACIFIC (UNNEXT) IN 2009 TO PROVIDE A PEER-TO-PEER KNOWLEDGE SHARING PLATFORM AND SUPPORT THE IDENTIFICATION OF REGIONAL PRIORITIES. TODAY UNNEXT IS A VIBRANT ENTITY, WITH A SECRETARIAT JOINTLY PROVIDED BY UNECE AND ESCAP. THE SECRETARIAT IS SUPPORTED BY A COMMITTEE OF ADVISORS, WHICH BRINGS TOGETHER EXPERTS, ICT COMPANIES AND RELEVANT GOVERNMENT AND PRIVATE SECTOR INSTITUTIONS. IN ADDITION, ALL CENTRAL ASIAN COUNTRIES HAVE NOMINATED OFFICIAL NATIONAL FOCAL POINTS FOR UNNEXT. YET ANOTHER AVENUE FOR ENSURING NATIONAL OWNERSHIP IS THE ENGAGEMENT OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTOR INSTITUTIONS IN THE DESIGN OF INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS AND QUALITY STANDARDS, AS WELL AS THEIR ADAPTATION TO LOCAL AND REGIONAL NEEDS. THIS HAS BEEN THE CASE WITH UNECE-UN CENTRE FOR TRADE FACILITATION AND ELECTRONIC BUSINESS (UN/CEFACT) ACTIVITIES THAT INVOLVED DEVELOPING A STRATEGIC ACTION PLAN TO ENGAGE THE TRANSITION ECONOMICS IN ELABORATING ELECTRONIC BUSINESS STANDARDS. PROGRESS TO DATE HAS INVOLVED BRINGING ON BOARD KEY STANDARDS-SETTING ORGANIZATIONS IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF INDEPENDENT STATES (CIS) REGION, AS WELL AS NATIONAL STANDARDS-SETTING AGENCIES, INCLUDING THE REGIONAL COMMONWEALTH IN THE FIELD OF COMMUNICATIONS (RC); AND, THE CIS INTER-STATE COUNCIL ON STANDARDIZATION, METROLOGY AND CERTIFICATION. THIS HAS ALSO BEEN THE CASE WITH UNECE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE ACTIVITIES FOR PROMOTING COMMERCIAL AGRICULTURAL QUALITY STANDARDS, WHICH INVOLVE TARGETED EFFORTS TO ENABLE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTOR INSTITUTIONS, AS WELL AS GROWERS AND PRODUCERS, TO PARTICIPATE IN INTERNATIONAL STANDARD-SETTING ACTIVITIES.

19. DOES YOUR GOVERNMENT CONSIDER IT USEFUL TO MONITOR AID FOR TRADE AT THE GLOBAL LEVEL?

VERY USEFUL

USEFUL

NOT USEFUL

NOT SURE

20. WHAT DO YOU SEE AS MAJOR CHALLENGES OR AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT IN MONITORING AID FOR TRADE AT THE GLOBAL LEVEL?

Please describe and provide examples:

1) SINCE SOME AFT RECEIPT COUNTRIES MAY NOT BE WELL VERSED IN THE MONITORING AND EVALUATION CONCEPTS , E.G. HARMONISATION , ALIGNMENT AND SO ON, IT WOULD BE USEFUL TO PROVIDE A BRIEF EXPLANATION OF THESE CONCEPTS BOTH IN THE REPORT AND IN A SHORT BROCHURE FOR AID COORDINATORS IN RECIPIENT COUNTRIES.

2) IT WOULD BE USEFUL TO SUBSTANTIATE THE CONCLUDING CHAPTER WITH SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS/PROPOSALS TO DONORS, BENEFICIARY COUNTRIES AND INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT ORGANISATIONS