



QUESTIONNAIRE FOR SOUTH-SOUTH COOPERATION

This questionnaire is intended to solicit information about the progress made since 2008 and focuses in particular on the outputs and outcomes of trade-related co-operation programmes.

For further details or additional forms please visit www.oecd.org/dac/aft/questionnaire or contact the secretariats of the OECD (aft.monitoring@oecd.org) or the WTO (aft.monitoring@wto.org).

COUNTRY: Indonesia MINISTRY / AGENGY:

TRADE RELATED COOPERATION POLICY								
1. DID YOUR TRADE RELATED COO	OPERATION P	OLICIES CHAI	NGE SINCE 20	08?				
YES 🖂		NO 🗌						
1.1 If YES, what were the driving forces behind these changes?								
	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT SURE			
The economic crisis		\boxtimes						
Changed development priorities in partner countries strategies		\boxtimes						
Changed priorities in strategies from regional bodies		\boxtimes						
Change of national leadership								
Change in development priorities		\boxtimes						
New approaches, procedures and instruments		\boxtimes						
More opportunities for triangular co-operation		\boxtimes						
Other								
Please specify:								
1.2 If YES, please elaborate on what	these change	s are:						
	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT SURE			
New themes:								
Greater focus on results								
Climate change and green growth		\boxtimes						
Gender equality								
The geographic focus								
The regional dimension		\boxtimes						

ng out of the trade related eration policy								
her								
Please specify:								
			NING A REVIS	ION OF ITS				
YES			NO 🗵					
If YES, please rate the changes	your governm	ent is planning	7:					
	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT SURE			
hemes:								
ater focus on results								
nate change and green growth								
nder equality								
eographic focus								
egional dimension								
_								
Other								
e specify:								
RESOURCES								
3. HAS DEMAND FROM PARTNER COUNTRIES FOR YOUR TRADE RELATED COOPERATION CHANGED SINCE 2008?								
SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASED ☐ INCREASED ☑ LITTLE/NO CHANGE ☐ DECLINED ☐ NOT SURE ☐								
3.1 If the demand increased, please describe from which countries and for the type of trade related support requested: LDCs; support of capacity building in economic development								
4. HAS THE DEMAND FOR REGIONAL TRADE RELATED COOPERATION PROGRAMMES CHANGED SINCE 2008?								
SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASED ☐ INCREASED ☐ LITTLE/NO CHANGE ☐ DECLINED ☐ NOT SURE ☐								
4.1 If the demand increased, please describe the regions and type of trade-related support requested: D8 dan D15 Policy Cooperation, ASEAN Connectivity (started in 2010)								
5. HAS THE VOLUME OF YOUR TRADE RELATED COOPERATION PROGRAMMES CHANGED SINCE 2008?								
FICANTLY INCREASED INCREASE	ED 🛛 LITTL	E/NO CHANGE [DECLINE	D NOT	SURE 🗌			
	LOOKING AHEAD TO 2013, IS Y APPROACH TO TRADE RELATED YES If YES, please rate the changes is themes: themes: themes: themes: the change and green growth inder equality the ographic focus the gional dimension and out of the trade related the change in the cha	Expecify: LOOKING AHEAD TO 2013, IS YOUR GOVERN APPROACH TO TRADE RELATED COOPERATION TEST TO TRADE RELATED COOPERATION TO TRADE REGIONAL TRADE RECONTRIES CHANGED SINCE 2008? FICANTLY INCREASED INCREASED INCREASED LITTLE If the demand increased, please describe from support requested: LDCs; support of capacity HAS THE DEMAND FOR REGIONAL TRADE RECONTRIES CHANGED SINCE 2008? FICANTLY INCREASED INCREASED LITTLE If the demand increased, please describe the requested: D8 dan D15 Policy Cooperation, A HAS THE VOLUME OF YOUR TRADE RELATED SINCE 2008?	Praction policy Processing	Paration policy Parati	Paration policy Paration policy			

5.1 If the volume increased, please describe the regions and type of the additional trade related cooperation provided: Increase in coverage but not in volume. The regions are Africa and							
Pacific. 6. DO YOU HAVE INDICATIVE	EORWARD LOOK	(ING COOR	PEDATION I	DI ANS2			
YES X	TORWARD LOOP	CING COOF	LIVATION	NO \square			
6.1. If YES, do these plans contain	in a snecific share	devoted to	trade rela		tion?		
YES 🖂			trade rera	NO □			
6.2 If YES, please specify: Blue volume and investment climate.	print is still under	developme	ent with m		improve trade		
DELIVERY							
7. HAS THERE BEEN PROGRES AND PARTNER COUNTRIES		NING DIAL	OGUE ME	CHANISMS	BETWEEN YOU		
SIGNIFICANTLY MODERATELY	√ ⊠ HARDL	.ү 🗌	NO [NOT SURE		
7.1 If YES, please describe and exemplify: There have been a regular dialogue among donors, facilitator and recipient (triangular cooperation): - High Level Conference on South-South Cooperation (Nairobi, 2009) - High Level Meeting on South-South Triangular cooperation (Geneva, 2010)							
7.2 Is the local private sector in partner countries involved in these dialogues?							
ALWAYS SO	SOMETIMES RARELY/NEVER NOT SURE						
 7.3 Please elaborate on your experiences with the private sector in south-south cooperation: 1. In D8 economic cooperation has involved private sectors related to trade 2. Economic cooperation among developing countries have been getting fund gradually from the private sector to improve the effectivenes of program activities 3. There has been an initiative to involve private sector to give funding facility in the economic cooperation 							
8. HAS MONITORING OF YOUR TRADE RELATED COOPERATION PROGRAMMES IMPROVED SINCE 2008?							
SIGNIFICANTLY MOOERATELY	HARDLY NO		NO NOT SURE				
8.1 If there have been improvements, do you:							
	ALWAYS SOMETIMES RARELY OR NEVER NOT SU				R NOT SURE		
Use own monitoring arrangements							
Involve partner countries							
Use joint monitoring arrangements							
8.2 Please elaborate on your experiences with monitoring: we are still improving the monitoring mechanism							

IS TRADE-RELATED COOPERATION WORKING?

9. WITHIN YOUR TRADE RELATED COOPERATION ACTIVITIES, DO YOU DEFINE WHAT CONSTITUTES SUCCESS, EITHER AT THE LEVEL OF THE POLICY ITSELF OR AT THE LEVEL OF THE PROJECTS AND PROGRAMMES?								
YES 🔀		NO 🗆				NOT SURE		
9.1 If YES, how do you define success of your trade related cooperation?								
		MOST IMPORTA		IMPORTAN	Т	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	
Enhanced understanding of the of trade in economic developm								
Increased profile of trade in development strategies								
More activities								
Increased exports								
Increased trade								
Increased economic growth				\boxtimes				
Reduced poverty				\boxtimes				
Greater environmental sustaina	bility			\boxtimes				
Greater gender equality								
Others								
Please define:								
9.2 Please illustrate with examples of both successful and unsuccessful trade related cooperation activities: see case story								
10. HOW DEPENDENT IS THE SUCCESS OF YOUR TRADE-RELATED COOPERATION PROGRAMMES AND PROJECTS ON COMPLEMENTARY POLICIES IN PARTNER COUNTRIES?								
VERY IMPORTANT ☐ PARTLY IMPORTANT ☐ NOT SURE ☐								
10.1 if important, how do you rate the importance of the following complementary policies in partner countries for the success of your trade related cooperation activities?								
	VERY IN	RY IMPORTANT		IMPORTANT		MPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	
Fiscal policies								
Monetary policies								
Labour market policies								
Competition policies								
Regulatory environment								
Governance								
11. HAS YOUR GOVERNMENT UNDERTAKEN AN EVALUATION OF ITS TRADE RELATED COOPERATION PROGRAMMES OR PROJECTS?								
YES 🔀	NO ☐ NOT SURE ☐				SURE			

11.1 If NO, is your government planning an evaluation of its trade related cooperation programmes and projects?							
YES 🗌		NO 🗌	NOT	NOT SURE			
11.2 If YES, for when is th	e evaluation planne	d ?					
2010 🗌 2011 [2012	2013	2014 🔀	2015			
12. PLEASE INDICATE TI TRADE RELATED CO				EVALUATING ITS			
	VERY IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT			
Difficulties in setting quantifiable objectives		\boxtimes					
Difficulties in establishing a baseline		\boxtimes					
Difficulty in obtaining in-country data		\boxtimes					
Absence of suitable indicators							
Budgetary constraints	udgetary constraints						
Ability of in-country staff to collect and report data							
Ability of partners to collect and report data		\boxtimes					
Others							
Please specify:							
13. HOW DOES YOUR GOVERNMENT ASSESS THE USEFULNESS OF MONITORING THE AID-FOR-TRADE INITIATIVE AT THE GLOBAL LEVEL?							
POSITIVE 🔀	POSITIVE NEUTRAL NEGATIVE DON'T KNOW DON'T KNOW						
 13.1 What do you see as the Initiative's major challenges or areas for improvements? Better Coordination More Transparent 							