



DONOR QUESTIONNAIRE ON AID FOR TRADE

This questionnaire is intended to solicit information about the progress made since the 2008 self assessment. It focuses in particular on the outcomes of aid-for-trade strategies and programmes to further knowledge sharing among stakeholders.

For further details or additional forms please visit www.oecd.org/dac/aft/questionnaire or contact the secretariats of the OECD (aft.monitoring@oecd.org) or the WTO (aft.monitoring@wto.org).

COUNTRY: AUSTRIA

A. YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY										
1. HAS YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY CHANGED SINCE 2008?										
YES 🗌	YES ☐ NO ☑ NOT SURE ☐ NOT APPLICABLE ☐									
1.1 If YES, please rate the importance of each of the following changes?										
Greater focus on: MOST IMPORTANT LESS NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT						NOT SURE				
• Economic growth										
• Poverty reduction										
Climate change and g	reen growth									
 Gender equality 										
• Regional integration										
Monitoring and evaluation	nating results									
Different geographic focu	IS									
Please specify:										
Different thematic focus										
Please specify:										
Phasing out of aid for tra-										
Other										
Please specify:										
1.2 If YES, please rat	e the importance	e of the follo	owing driving fo	orces behind t	hese changes:					
		MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT SURE				
The economic crisis										

Changed priorities in the development strategies of partner countries								
Changed priorities in the development strategies of regional bodies								
Change of national government								
Changes in bilateral trade and investment relations								
Changed priorities in your development cooperation								
New research, approaches, or aid instruments								
More focus on triangular co-operation								
Other								
Please specify:								
2. LOOKING AHEAD TO 2013, IS YOUR GOVERNMENT PLANNING ANY CHANGES TO ITS AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY?								
YES ☐ NO ⊠	NOT SURE NOT APPLICABLE							
2.1 If YES, please rate the importance	e of the chang	es your gover	nment is plan	ning:				
Greater focus on:	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT SURE			
Economic growth								
Poverty reduction								
Climate change and green growth								
Gender equality				_				
Regional integration								
Regional integration Monitoring and evaluating results								
Monitoring and evaluating results								
Monitoring and evaluating results Different geographic focus								
Monitoring and evaluating results Different geographic focus Please specify:								
Monitoring and evaluating results Different geographic focus Please specify: Different thematic focus								
Monitoring and evaluating results Different geographic focus Please specify: Different thematic focus Please specify:								

В. YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE FINANCING **DEMAND** HAS THE DEMAND FOR AID FOR TRADE FROM YOUR PARTNER COUNTRIES CHANGED 3. **SINCE 2008?** SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASED INCREASED LITTLE/NO CHANGE DECLINED | NOT SURE If the demand increased, please describe from which countries and for which type of aid for trade: HAS THE DEMAND FOR AID FOR TRADE FOR REGIONAL INTEGRATION PROGRAMMES **CHANGED SINCE 2008?** SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASED INCREASED 🖂 LITTLE/NO CHANGE □ DECLINED | NOT SURE ☐ 4.1 If the demand increased, please describe from which regions and for which type of aid for trade: Austrian Development Cooperation(ADC) has recently signed one MoU with SADC and one MoU with ECOWAS, both including cooperation in trade related infrastucture; more specifically in renewable energy. In this context, ADC has joined the "Energy and Environment Partnership with Southern and Eastern Africa (EEP)". EEP is open to public and private sector and is implemented via calls for proposals. It promotes institutional support and knowledge management; project and business development assistance for renewable energy and national or regional pilot and demonstration projects. In cooperation with ECOWAS and UNIDO, ADC supports the ECOWAS Regional Centre for Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency (ECREE) – www.ecreee.org. ECREE aims to strengthen the enabling environment of renewable energy in its member states via the following activities: Tailored policy, legal and regulatory frameworks and quality standards; Capacity development and training; Advocacy, awareness raising, knowledge management and networking; Investment and business promotion through tailored financial mechanisms; Demonstration projects and showcases with potential for regional scaling-up. Furthermore, Austrian Development Cooperation is actively engaged in the EU Africa Infrastructure Trust Fund, which follows a regional approach by intending to improve cross-border infrastructure in Africa.. **RESOURCES** 5. HAVE YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE RESOURCES INCREASED SINCE 2008? NOT SURE YES NO 🖂 DOES YOUR AGENCY HAVE INDICATIVE FORWARD SPENDING PLANS? 6. YES NO 🖂 NOT SURE

If YES, do these forward spending plans include estimates for aid for trade?

ΝО □

6.1.

6.2

YES 🗌

If YES, please specify these estimates:

NOT SURE

	INADIENAENTIN	IC AUTH VID I	FOR-TRADE STRATEGY
C.	IIVIPLEIVIEIVIII	NG TOUR AID-I	FUR-INADE SINATEGI.

7. IN HOW MANY OF YOUR POLICY DIALOGUES IS TRADE NOW A REGULAR TOPIC OF DISCUSSION?											
		> 75%	> 75%		JRE	NOT APPLICABLE					
With	partner countries]			\boxtimes				
With	regional communities]							
8.	IS THIS AN IMPRO	N IMPROVEMENT COMPARED TO 2008?									
		SIGNIFICANT	NIFICANT MODERATE LITTLE,		NONE NOT SURE		E	NOT APPLICABLE			
With	partner countries										
With	regional communities						3				
9.	IS THE PRIVATE SE	CTOR INVOLVE	D IN Y	OUR I	DIAL	OGUE?	'				
		ALWAYS	5	SO	METIN	MES	RAREI	LY/NEVER		NOT SURE	
With	partner countries										
With	regional communities										
9.1	Please describe and private sector: Que					ience in	dialogu	es that inv	olve	the	
10.	IS CIVIL SOCIETY IN	IVOLVED IN YO	OUR DI	ALOG	UE?						
		ALWAYS	5	SO	METI	MES	RARELY/NEVER			NOT SURE	
With	partner countries										
With	regional communities										
10.1	Please describe and civil society: Quest		_	-	-	ience in	dialogu	es that inv	olve		
11.	ARE YOU HARMOI WERE BEFORE 200		TRATE	GY W	ITH C	OTHER	DONOR	S BETTER I	WOV	THAN YOU	
SIGN	IFICANTLY MO	DERATELY 🛚	RARE	LY/NEV	/ER		NOT SUR	E	NOT A	APPLICABLE	
11.1	If you are harmonis	ing better, how	often	do yo	u use	the fol	lowing a	pproaches	3?		
		ALWAYS	5	SO	METI	MES	RAREI	LY/NEVER		NOT SURE	
Joint	needs assessment										
Co-fin	nancing				\boxtimes						
Secto	r-wide approaches						\boxtimes				
Joint i	implementation				\boxtimes						
Comn	non monitoring							\boxtimes			
Joint	evaluation										

Other									\boxtimes	
Please specify: Several AfT related engagements in the field of infrastructure or improving business environment are jointly implemented with other bilateral donors or international (financial) organisations.										
12. HAS ALIGNMENT OF YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE PROGRAMME IMPROVED SINCE 2008?										
SIGNIFICANT MODERATE LITTLE/ NOT NOT APPLICABLE										
With partner country priorities										
With the Enhanced integrate	ed Framework								\boxtimes	
With regional priorities					\boxtimes					
Please elaborate with example exclusively from a trade persenvironment.	=		_							
12.1. How many of your a	iid-for-trade	orogran	nmes a	ire al	ligned a	round	trade	prioritie	s of?	
	> 75%	75% -	50%	50%	- 25%	< 25	5%	NOT SU	JRE	NOT APPLICABLE
Partner countries' development strategies						\triangleright]			
The DTIS Action Matrix (for LDCs)										
Regional organisations development strategies]	[
13. HAS THE MONITOR	ING OF YOU	R AID-I	FOR-TR	RADE	PROGE	RAMM	ES IM	PROVED	SIN	CE 2008?
SIGNIFICANTLY	MODERAT	ELY 🗌		R.A	ARELY/NE	VER 🗵		N	OT SU	JRE 🗌
13.1 If there have been i	mprovement	s, how	often d	lo yo	u:					
			ALW	AYS	SOM	ETIMES	RA	RELY/NEV	/ER	NOT SURE
Use your own monitoring]		SOMETIMES RARELY/NE				
Rely on partner countries' m	onitoring pro	cesses]						
Use joint monitoring arrang	ements]						
13.2 Please provide examples and describe your experience with monitoring your aid-for-trade programmes:										
D. IS YOUR AID FOR T	RADE WORK	ING?								
14. DOES YOUR AID-FO	R-TRADE ST	RATEG	Y DEFIN	NE CI	LEAR O	BJECTI	VES?			
YES 🛛	NO [NOT SUF	RE 🔲		NOT	APPLI	CABLE
14.1 If YES, what are the	objectives of	your a	id-for-t	rade	strateg	y?				
MOST IMPORTANT LESS NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT										

role of trade in economic development (awareness)								
Increased trade profile (mainstreaming)			\boxtimes					
Larger aid-for-trade flows			\boxtimes					
Increased exports								
Increased trade								
Export diversification								
Increased economic growth								
Reduced poverty								
Greater environmental sustainability								
Greater gender equality								
Other								
Please specify:								
15. WHAT IS THE SHARE OF YOUR AID FOR TRADE PROGRAMMES THAT CONTAIN OUANTIFIABLE OBJECTIVES?								
QUANTIFIABLE OBJECTIVES?								
	25%	% 🖂 NOT S	SURE NOT	APPLICABLE				
	< 25%	% 🛛 NOT 9	SURE NOT					
	_	_		APPLICABLE				
> 75%	_	FOR-TRADE ST		APPLICABLE				
> 75%	.UATED ITS AID-	FOR-TRADE ST	RATEGY, PROGI	APPLICABLE RAMMES				
> 75%	.UATED ITS AID-	FOR-TRADE ST	RATEGY, PROGI	APPLICABLE RAMMES				
> 75%	.UATED ITS AID-	FOR-TRADE ST	RATEGY, PROGI	APPLICABLE RAMMES NOT SURE				
> 75%	YES	FOR-TRADE ST	RATEGY, PROGI	APPLICABLE RAMMES NOT SURE				
> 75%	YES D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	FOR-TRADE ST	RATEGY, PROGI	APPLICABLE RAMMES NOT SURE				
> 75%	YES D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	FOR-TRADE ST	RATEGY, PROGI	APPLICABLE RAMMES NOT SURE				
> 75%	YES D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	FOR-TRADE ST	RATEGY, PROGI	RAMMES NOT SURE				
> 75%	YES D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	FOR-TRADE ST	RATEGY, PROGI	RAMMES NOT SURE				
> 75%	YES he(se) evaluation YES THE SECTION OF THE SEC	FOR-TRADE ST	RATEGY, PROGI	RAMMES NOT SURE				
> 75%	YES he(se) evaluation YES SECOND S	FOR-TRADE ST	RATEGY, PROGI	RAMMES NOT SURE				
> 75%	YES he(se) evaluation YES SECOND S	FOR-TRADE ST	RATEGY, PROGI	RAMMES NOT SURE				

Enhanced understanding of the

Programmes and projects		\boxtimes		\boxtimes					
Both									
		I	I	I					
17. PLEASE RATE THE IMPORTANCE OF THE FOLLOWING CHALLENGES IN EVALUATING YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY, PROGRAMMES AND PROJECTS:									
	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT					
Difficulty in identifying quantifiable objectives									
Difficulty in obtaining in-country data		\boxtimes							
Absence of suitable indicators									
Budgetary constraints									
Ability of in-country staff to collect and report data			\boxtimes						
Ability of project partners to collect and report data									
Difficulty of assigning trade outcomes to the programme									
Difficulty in identifying quantifiable objectives									
18. ARE THERE ANY PARTICULAR EXAMPLES OF YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE PROCESSES, PROGRAMMES OR PROJECTS THAT HAVE OBTAINED GOOD RESULTS THAT YOU THINK COULD CONTRIBUTE TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF GOOD PRACTICES? Please list and describe: THE FOLLOWING DOES NOT APPLY TO QUESTION 18 BUT IS AN ADDITION TO QUESTION 17: THE DIFFICULTY IN IDENTIFYING THE SPECIFIC IMPACT OF THE AUSTRIAN CONTRIBUTION TO CO- FINANCING AND JOINT IMPLEMENTATION INITIATIVES IS ANOTHER IMPORTANT CHALLENGE.									
19. DOES YOUR GOVERNMENT CON GLOBAL LEVEL?									
VERY USEFUL USEFUL USEFUL	. 🛛	NOT USEFUL	NO	r Sure 🗌					
20. WHAT DO YOU SEE AS MAJOR CHALLENGES OR AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT IN MONITORING AID FOR TRADE AT THE GLOBAL LEVEL? Please describe and provide examples:									